

An efficient method for the synthesis of 6,7-bis(alkylthio- or alkylamino-substituted)quinoline-5,8-diones via nucleophilic addition/oxidation of alkylthio and alkylamino derivatives to quinoline-5,8-dione

Herman H. Odens,^{*a} Trevor S. Silva,^b Candace N. Olusola,^b Herman H. Odens Jr.,^b Victoria A. Howe,^b and Anna I. Wijatyk^b

^aUniversity of Tennessee at Chattanooga, Department of Chemistry and Physics, Grote Hall 223, 615 McCallie Ave., Chattanooga, TN 37403, USA

^bSouthern Adventist University, Chemistry Department, Hickman Science Center, PO Box 370, Collegedale, TN 37315, USA

Email: herman-h-odens@utc.edu

Dedicated to all my present and past students


Received 11-24-2020

Accepted 09-29-2021

Published on line 10-17-2021

Abstract


A new variety of 6,7-bis(alkylthio- or alkylamino-substituted)quinoline-5,8-diones were prepared by the addition of mercaptans or amino nucleophiles to quinoline-5,8-dione after subsequent oxidation with NaIO₄. The core quinoline-5,8-dione intermediate was prepared from the oxidation of 5-quinolinol or 8-quinolinol by [bis(trifluoroacetoxy)iodo]benzene, PIFA, in the presence of water and acetonitrile as solvents. No good leaving groups were utilized to insert the alkylthio or alkylamino groups into the quinoline ring. The synthesized compounds will be tested for their anti-inflammatory, anti-bacterial and tuberculostatic inhibition activities at a later stage.



Keywords: 6,7-Bis(alkylthio-substituted)quinoline-5,8-diones, 6,7-bis(alkylamino-substituted)quinoline-5,8-diones, quinolinequinones, oxidation with NaIO₄, addition/oxidation method, heterocycles.

Introduction


Quinolinequinones have shown promise in possible anti-tumor,¹⁻⁴ anti-cancer,⁵ anti-bacterial,⁶ trypanocidal,⁷ anti-tuberculosis,⁸ anti-inflammatory,⁹ anti-malarial agents,¹⁰ and anti-fungal.¹¹




Scheme 1. General scheme for the synthesis of 6,7-bis(alkylthio- or alkylamino-substituted)quinoline-5,8-diones.

Studies have shown quinolinequinones as more superior substrates than analogous indolequinones for recombinant human NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase (NQO1) as bio-reductive antitumor drugs.¹² Mulchin et al. synthesized a range of 5,8-quinolinequinones to study their anti-inflammatory and anti-tumor properties to ultimately advance in building a larger, more effective bank of therapeutic quinolinequinones. The replacement of substituents displaying electron-withdrawing properties at the 6- and/or 7- positions of the compounds has increased their speed in breaking down DNA, which is a key anti-tumor characteristic.¹³ Amines and thiols have been the primary type of precursors for the substituents attached to the 5,8-quinolinequinones with the nitrogens and sulfurs, respectively, providing the source of attachment between the compounds and their alkyl groups.¹³ Davioud-Charvet et al. prepared and tested various aza-analogues of 1,4-naphthoquinones and menadione as inhibitors and substrates of the plasmodial thioredoxin and glutathione reductases as well as the human glutathione reductase.¹⁴ Most of the syntheses to produce substituted-quinoline-5,8-diones involves the use of good leaving groups for the nucleophilic displacement of those groups. Here, we would like to present a way to design and generate a new class of 6,7-bis(alkylthio- or alkylamino-substituted)-quinoline-5,8-diones via an efficient addition/oxidation method without the need of leaving groups (Scheme 1). This work presents a new and more efficient synthetic methodology of an important class of organic molecules. Herein, our research group would like to show the synthetic application on how our 6,7-bis(alkylthio- or alkylamino-substituted)quinoline-5,8-dione derivatives were designed and synthesized in our laboratory.

Route 1. Sulfur Nucleophiles



Route 2. Nitrogen Nucleophiles



1a where X = OH, Y = H
1b where X = H, Y = OH

where R¹ = alkyl, aryl

where R² = H, alkyl

Scheme 2. Synthetic routes employed for the target compounds.

Results and Discussion

Quinoline-5,8-dione intermediate **2** was synthesized and used without further purification from the oxidation of 5- or 8-quinolinol **1a,b** with [bis(trifluoroacetoxy)iodo]benzene, PIFA.¹⁴ A new variety of 6,7-bis(alkylthio-substituted)quinoline-5,8-diones **3a-m** was prepared by the addition of alkylthiols to quinoline-5,8-dione after subsequent oxidation with NaIO₄ (Table 1). No good leaving groups were utilized to insert the alkylthio groups into these heterocyclic rings. Our research group envisioned having two groups of nucleophiles added. One group consisted of sulfur nucleophiles and another of nitrogen nucleophiles (Scheme 2). Due to the neighboring electron donation of the nitrogen atom in quinoline-5,8-dione **2** to the quinoid ring, it was expected that the nucleophilic addition would favor the C-6 position. The fact that we were able to isolate five examples of mono-alkylthiolation and mono-aminoalkylation products indicates that our prediction was correct. Mono-alkylthiolation and mono-aminoalkylation was observed as the only compound when nucleophiles were bulky enough to block a second addition on the C-7 carbon of the benzoquinoid ring, or if the mono-thio or mono-aminoalkylated substrate would have a locked conformation with the carbonyl at the 8-position. For compound **3j**, mono-alkylthiolation was the major product possibly due to hydrogen bonding stabilizing the conformation for a secondary nucleophilic addition and for compounds **3h**, **3i**, **3l**, and **3m**, steric factors were the cause (Figure 1). On the other hand, when the nucleophile was less sterically hindered, bis-alkylthiolation and bis-aminoalkylation was preferred as was the case for compounds **3a-g** and **3k** in which the second nucleophile would attach to the benzoquinoid ring via a Michael-addition without the help of the electronic effects of the nitrogen atom activating the adjacent ring.

Table 1. Synthetic results. Compounds **3a-g** and **3j-m** were synthesized using Method A and compounds **3h** and **3i** were synthesized via Method B. Shown are the isolated yields of each product


Entry	Compound	Yield	Entry	Compound	Yield	Entry	Compound	Yield
3a		41%	3f		7%	3k		25%
3b		35%	3g		11%	3l		40%
3c		9%	3h		15%	3m		72%
3d		39%	3i		14%			
3e		7%	3j		42%			

3j	3h	3i	3l	3m

Figure 1. Hydrogen bonding in **3j**, and steric factors in **3h**, **3i**, **3l** and **3m** contributed to the formation of only mono-alkylthiolated and mono-aminoalkylated compounds for these syntheses.

An attempt to propose a reaction mechanism for the synthesis of 6,7-bis(alkylthio- or alkylamino-substituted)quinoline-5,8-diones is depicted on Scheme 3. The mechanism shown reflects the mono-alkylthiolation and mono-aminoalkylation process. For the bis-alkylthiolated and bis-aminoalkylated compounds, the mono-alkylthiolated and mono-aminoalkylated compound undergoes 1,4-nucleophilic addition to C-7, followed by oxidation with NaIO_4 to reform the carbonyl group back to complete the reactions sequence. An interesting aspect of this chemistry is that the reaction is biphasic and methanol is used as a carrier or phase transfer catalyst between the organic and the aqueous layer, transferring any desired compound into the organic phase.

Proposed Mechanism



Scheme 3. A proposed mechanism for the synthesis of 6,7-bis(alkylthio- or alkylamino-substituted)quinoline-5,8-diones **3a-m**.

Conclusions

The success of the experiments is seen by the ability of the novelty synthesis to produce 6-(alkylthio- and alkylamino)- and bis-6,7-(alkylthio- and alkylamino)-substituted-5,8-quinolinequinones. This oxidation/addition synthesis is more efficient than the currently used methods which employ leaving groups. This innovative synthesis involves only three steps. Thirteen examples of these novel compounds have been synthesized. Since we were focusing on the medicinal chemistry portion of the project, our main goal was to generate a library of compounds for further biological testing. Once a library of compounds has been collected, the compounds made would later be tested on their anti-inflammatory, tuberculostatic, and anti-bacterial activities.

Experimental Section

General. All materials and reagents were commercially available and purchased from: Acros, TCI America, Aldrich, Fischer, and VWR. The equipment used included: CG-1991-P Pie Blocks, the reaction blocks and the

safety holders, CG-1994 Chemglass Optimag magnetic hot plat stirrers with safety controls, 22 mL vials, micro-magnetic stirrers, a RE11 Buchi Rotavapor 1024648, two Wilmad Lab Glass Rotavapor WG-EV311, AnalTech Silica Gel G 500 μm 20x20 cm Prep-scored, AnalTech Silica Gel GHLF 250 μm 10x20 cm scored, a short wave UV lamp, 254 nm, and standard laboratory glassware. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were carried out at the University of Tennessee at Chattanooga (UTC) using a Jeol 400 MHz NMR. Chemical shifts (δ) in ^1H NMR spectra are expressed in ppm downfield of tetramethylsilane and were referenced to the residual solvent peak. Analytical LCMS was performed on an Agilent 1000 Series LC and an Agilent G1946D MS tandem or an Acquity Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography instrument coupled with a Micromass Quattro Micro API ESCi Mass Spectrometer at UTC.

Synthesis of Quinoline-5,8-dione (**2**).¹⁴

A sample of 8-quinolinol (**1b**, 0.145 g, 1.00 mmol) was treated with bis(trifluoroacetoxy)iodobenzene (PIFA, 0.946 g, 2.2 mmol). The 8-quinolinol was dissolved in a 2:1 ratio mixture of acetonitrile and water (3 mL total) and mixed with the PIFA, dissolved in an identical mixture. The 8-quinolinol was cooled to 0°C before being added dropwise to the PIFA in the reaction vessel (the mixture became a dark orange color). The reaction was carried out for 30 minutes at 0°C and then at room temperature for one hour under constant stirring. The extent of the reaction was monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC, 250 μm). The desired quinoline-5,8-dione intermediate was formed and the acetonitrile solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The intermediate was dissolved in approximately 10 mL of water and extracted using five, 20 mL portions of dichloromethane (DCM). The orange-colored organic portions were collected and dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate (Na_2SO_4). The mixture was filtered and washed with DCM and the yellow filtrate was collected. TLC was run to confirm the presence of the intermediate and the DCM solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to produce compound **2** (0.157 g, 99% crude yield). The remaining crude sample had a dark orange color and was used immediately after without further purification.

General Method and Procedure for the Synthesis 6-(alkylthio- and alkylamino)- and bis-6,7-(alkylthio- and alkylamino)-substituted-5,8-quinolinequinones (Compounds **3 a-g** and **3j-m**).

Method A (step-wise):

The quinoline-5,8-dione (**2**, 0.050 g, 0.31 mmol) intermediate was dissolved in approximated 2 mL of methanol and treated with either a alkylthiol or alkylamino nucleophile in a 1:1 mmol ratio. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for one day, monitored through TLC, and stopped by evaporating the methanol under reduced pressure. The resulting quinolinequinols intermediates were dark brown in color and weighed to determine the subsequent reaction molar quantities and used without further purification onto the next step. The quinolinequinols (reduced forms of **3 a-g** and **3j-m**) were oxidized using sodium periodate in a 1:1 mmol ratio. The crude mixtures were dissolved in DCM and methanol, in a 5:4 ratio, and the sodium periodate was dissolved in water. The milliliters of water were matched to the mmol quantity of the secondary intermediates used and the 1.25:1 DCM to methanol ratio used the portion of water as the baseline volume. The crude mixtures and reagents were mixed and the reactions ran for twenty minutes at room temperature while being stirred. The reactions were monitored through TLC using hexane:ethyl acetate (7:3) or (1:1) depending on the retention factor assigned, the organic layers (dark brown-colored) were collected using DCM and the reactions were stopped by drying over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The mother liquid containing the target compounds was decanted and the DCM/methanol solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting dark brown solid samples were purified by the preparative TLC purification method.

General Method and Procedure for the Synthesis of 6-(alkylthio)quinoline-5,8-diones (**3h**, and **3i**).

Method B (one-pot):

Quinolinequinone (**2**, 0.838 mmol), previously made by the oxidation of quinolin-5-ol (**1a**) with phenyliodine bis(trifluoroacetate) (PIFA)¹⁴ was dissolved in 4.19 ml of dichloromethane (DCM), and 840 μ L of methanol. The reaction mixture was treated with 0.227 mmol of thiol derivative added drop-wise follow by treatment with a solution of 0.454 mmol of sodium periodate dissolved in 1.77 mL of water at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 24 hours and then monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using a solvent system consisting of 1:1 hexanes and ethyl acetate. The TLC plate was placed inside of a chromatography chamber containing 10 ml of the solvent system for 10 minutes, and it was then observed under the ultra-violet light to detect any spots that would indicate the presence of a new compound. The mixture was then filtered through Celite, which was pressed into a vacuumed fritted glass funnel. After adding 455 μ L of water to the filtrate, the organic layer was worked up and washed three times with 5 ml of DCM and ultimately extracted from the aqueous layer using a separatory funnel. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate, and this drying agent was paper filtered out through a Buchner funnel. The solvent from the filtrate solution was removed under reduced pressure using a rotary evaporator. Final compound (**3h** or **3i**) was then purified using the preparative TLC purification method.

Purification Process

After the solvent was removed, the dried product was dissolved with minute amounts of DCM and 2-5 drops of methanol. With 35 ml of the same solvent system used for TLC, a large-scale preparative TLC (500 μ m 20 x 20 cm) was run for purification by dotting the entire dissolved crude product onto two opposite sides of the plate. The prep TLC plate was placed in a TLC chamber for 16 minutes for each spotted side and eluted with 35 ml of 1:1 ratio of hexanes and ethyl acetate.

The pure product was scraped off and poured into a 5 ml plastic syringe with frits on both ends of the product. Then, the product was flushed with 5 ml of 9:1 (DCM:methanol), collecting the desired product into a vial. Solvent was again removed under reduced pressure. The solvents were chased by adding 3 ml of DCM to the dried product, and then the solvent was removed for the last time. The resulting product (**3a-m**) was confirmed of its chemical structure through ¹H NMR and LCMS.

6,7-Bis((furan-2-ylmethyl)thio)quinoline-5,8-dione (3a): Red oily film (0.125 g, 41% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.98 (s, 1H), 8.34 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (s, 2H), 6.31 (s, 2H), 6.17 (s, 2H), 4.54 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 4H). MS (ESI): *m/z* 383, found 384 (C₁₉H₁₃NO₄S₂ [M+H]⁺).

6,7-Bis(butylthio)quinoline-5,8-dione (3b): Red oily film (0.125 g, 35% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.95 (ddd, *J* = 4.7, 1.7, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 8.32 (ddd, *J* = 7.9, 1.7, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (ddd, *J* = 7.9, 4.7, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (td, *J* = 7.3, 5.2 Hz, 4H), 1.59 – 1.48 (m, 4H), 1.45 – 1.32 (m, 4H), 0.86 (tt, *J* = 7.3, 0.9 Hz, 6H). MS (ESI): *m/z* 335, found 336 (C₁₇H₂₁NO₂S₂ [M+H]⁺).

6,7-Bis((4-fluorobenzyl)thio)quinoline-5,8-dione (3c): Orange dry film (0.018 g, 9% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.96 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.35 – 8.27 (m, 1H), 7.85 – 7.76 (m, 1H), 7.34 – 7.25 (m, 4H), 7.09 (tq, *J* = 7.4, 2.5 Hz, 4H), 4.46 (dd, *J* = 10.2, 2.6 Hz, 4H). MS (ESI): *m/z* 439.5, found 440.5 (C₂₃H₁₅F₂NO₂S₂ [M+H]⁺).

Dimethyl 3,3'-((5,8-dioxo-5,8-dihydroquinoline-6,7-diyl)bis(sulfanediyl))dipropanoate (3d): Orange oily film (0.066 g, 39% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.00 (dd, *J* = 4.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.38 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (ddd, *J* = 7.9, 4.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (dd, *J* = 2.2, 0.9 Hz, 6H), 3.41 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 4H), 2.76 (td, *J* = 6.9, 3.2 Hz, 4H). MS (ESI): *m/z* 395.5, found 396.5 (C₁₇H₁₇NO₆S₂ [M+H]⁺).

6,7-Bis(phenylthio)quinoline-5,8-dione (3e): Orange dry film, (0.015 g, 7% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.96 (dd, *J* = 4.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.28 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 4.9, 1.5 Hz, 4H), 7.32 (dddd, *J* = 9.5, 6.7, 3.7, 1.6 Hz, 6H). MS (ESI): *m/z* 375, found 376 (C₂₁H₁₃NO₂S₂ [M+H]⁺).

6,7-Bis(cyclopentylthio)quinoline-5,8-dione (3f): Orange dry film, (0.060 g, 7% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.97 (dd, *J* = 4.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.34 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (dtt, *J*

= 12.4, 7.1, 5.3 Hz, 2H), 1.99 – 1.93 (m, 4H), 1.73 – 1.64 (m, 4H), 1.60 – 1.51 (m, 8H). MS (ESI): m/z 359, found 360 ($C_{19}H_{21}NO_2S_2$ [M+H]⁺).

2,2'-((5,8-Dioxo-5,8-dihydroquinoline-6,7-diyl)bis(sulfanediyl))bis(*N*-methylacetamide) (3g): Brown dry film, (0.0095 g, 11% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.98 (dd, J = 4.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (dd, J = 7.9, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (s, 2H), 4.11 (s, 2H), 3.63 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 6H). MS (ESI): m/z 365.5, found 366.5 ($C_{15}H_{15}N_3O_4S_2$ [M+H]⁺).

Isopropyl 3-((5,8-dioxo-5,8-dihydroquinolin-6-yl)thio)propanoate (3h): Yellow oily film, (0.0378 g, 15% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.99 (dd, J = 4.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.35 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (dd, J = 7.9, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (dq, J = 11.5, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.20 (dd, J = 6.7, 6.2 Hz, 6H). MS (ESI): m/z 305, found 306 ($C_{15}H_{15}NO_4S$ [M+H]⁺) and 328 ([M+Na]⁺).

6-((3-Chloropropyl)thio)quinoline-5,8-dione (3i): Light brown dry film, (0.0279 g, 14% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.99 (dd, J = 4.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.35 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (dd, J = 7.9, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (s, 1H), 3.78 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.09 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.85 – 2.80 (m, 1H), 2.18 – 2.08 (m, 2H). MS (ESI): m/z ³⁵Cl: 267 and ³⁷Cl: 269, found 268 ($C_{12}H_{10}ClNO_2S$ [³⁵Cl M+H]⁺), 270 ([³⁷Cl M+H]⁺), 290 ([³⁵Cl M+Na]⁺), and 292 ([³⁷Cl M+Na]⁺).

***N*-(2-((5,8-Dioxo-5,8-dihydroquinolin-6-yl)thio)ethyl)acetamide (3j):** Orange oil, (0.049 g, 42% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.15 – 9.08 (m, 1H), 8.42 (ddd, J = 7.9, 1.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.98 – 7.89 (m, 2H), 3.33 – 3.24 (m, 2H), 3.24 – 3.15 (m, 3H), 1.69 (t, J = 1.4 Hz, 3H). MS (ESI): m/z 276, found 277 ($C_{13}H_{12}N_2O_3S$ [M+H]⁺).

6,7-Di(pyrrolidin-1-yl)quinoline-5,8-dione (3k): Red dry film, (0.017 g, 25% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.93 (dd, J = 4.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.31 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (dd, J = 7.9, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.03 – 1.95 (m, 8H), 1.95 – 1.90 (m, 8H). MS (ESI): m/z 276, found 277 ($C_{13}H_{12}N_2O_3S$ [M+H]⁺). MS (ESI): m/z 297, found 298 ($C_{17}H_{19}N_3O_2$ [M+H]⁺).

6-(Piperidin-1-yl)quinoline-5,8-dione (3l): Red dry film, (0.030 g, 40% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.93 (dd, J = 4.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.28 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (dd, J = 7.9, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 6.10 (s, 1H), 3.50 (s, 4H), 1.64 (s, 6H). MS (ESI): m/z 242, found 243 ($C_{14}H_{14}N_2O_2$ [M+H]⁺) and 265 ([M+Na]⁺).

6-((3-Methoxyphenyl)amino)quinoline-5,8-dione (3m): Orange dry film, (0.061 g, 72% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.05 (s, 1H), 8.87 (dd, J = 4.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (dd, J = 7.8, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.55 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (s, 1H), 6.13 (dd, J = 8.1, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (s, 3H). MS (ESI): m/z 280, found 281 ($C_{16}H_{12}N_2O_3$ [M+H]⁺).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Department of Chemistry and Physics at the University of Tennessee at Chattanooga for research stipend for chemicals and allowing the use of their NMR spectrometer and Mass Spectrometer. This study was supported in part by Southern Adventist University Academic Research Committee Research Sustainability Grant (100-20000-65817) and the 2012 Hamilton Company University Grant Program.

Supplementary Material

Copies of NMR, and LCMS spectra of new compounds are available in the Supplementary Material file associated with this manuscript.

References

1. Alcaín, F. and Villalba, J. *Expert Opin. Ther. Pat.* **2007**, 17(6), pp.649-665.
<https://doi.org/10.1517/13543776.17.6.649>
2. Behforouz, M.; Cai, W.; Mohammadi, F.; Stocksdales, M.; Gu, Z.; Ahmadian, M.; Baty, D.; Etling, M.; Al-Anzi, C. and Swiftney, T. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2007**, 15(1), pp.495-510.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2006.09.039>
Bolognese, A.; Correale, G.; Manfra, M.; Esposito, A.; Novellino, E. and Lavecchia, A. *J. Med Chem.* **2008**, 51(24), pp.8148-8157.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/jm8007689>
3. Keyari, C.; Kearns, A.; Duncan, N.; Eickholt, E.; Abbott, G.; Beall, H. and Diaz, P. *J. Med Chem.* **2013**, 56(10), pp.3806-3819.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/jm301689x>
4. Besset, T.; Braud, E.; Jarray, R.; Garbay, C.; Kolb, S.; Leo, P. and Morin, C. *Eur. J. Chem.* **2011**, 2(4), pp.433-440.
<https://doi.org/10.5155/eurjchem.2.4.433-440.400>
5. Balitz, D. M.; Bush, J. A.; Bradner, W. T.; Doyle, T. W.; O'Herron, F. A.; Nettleton, D. E. *J. Antibiot.* **1982**, 35(3), pp.259-265.
<https://doi.org/10.7164/antibiotics.35.259>
6. Sieveking, I.; Thomas, P.; Estévez, J.; Quiñones, N.; Cuéllar, M.; Villena, J.; Espinosa-Bustos, C.; Fierro, A.; Tapia, R.; Maya, J.; López-Muñoz, R.; Cassels, B.; Estévez, R. and Salas, C. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2014**, 22(17), pp.4609-4620.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2014.07.030>
7. Appleton, D.; Pearce, A. and Copp, B. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, 66(27-28), pp.4977-4986.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2010.05.033>
8. Chia, E.; Pearce, A.; Berridge, M.; Larsen, L.; Perry, N.; Sansom, C.; Godfrey, C.; Hanton, L.; Lu, G.; Walton, M.; Denny, W.; Webb, V.; Copp, B. and Harper, J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2008**, 16(21), pp.9432-9442.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2008.09.052>
9. Temple, C.; Rose, J. and Montgomery, J. *J. Med. Chem.* **1974**, 17(6), pp.615-619.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/jm00252a009>
10. Ryu, C. K. and Huh, S. H. WO Patent WO01/12605A1/PC/KR00/00426, 2001.
11. Fryatt, T.; Goroski, D.; Nilson, Z.; Moody, C. and Beall, H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1999**, 9(15), pp.2195-2198.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-894X\(99\)00369-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-894X(99)00369-8)
12. Mulchin, B.; Newton, C.; Baty, J.; Grasso, C.; Martin, W.; Walton, M.; Dangerfield, E.; Plunkett, C.; Berridge, M.; Harper, J.; Timmer, M. and Stocker, B. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2010**, 18(9), pp.3238-3251.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2010.03.021>

13. Morin, C.; Besset, T.; Moutet, J.; Fayolle, M.; Brückner, M.; Limosin, D.; Becker, K. and Davioud-Charvet, E. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2008**, 6(15), p.2731.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/b802649c>

This paper is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)