

Gallium(III) chloride: an efficient catalyst for facile preparation of *gem*-diacetates from aldehydes

Sanjay Kumar, Anil Saini, and Jagir S. Sandhu*

Department of Chemistry, Punjabi University, Patiala 147 002, Punjab, India

E-mail: j_sandhu2002@yahoo.com

Abstract

An efficient, facile preparation of *gem*-diacetates or diacetoxy acetals from aldehydes in excellent yields, catalyzed by GaCl₃, under solvent-free conditions, is described herein.

Keywords: Gallium(III) chloride, aldehydes, *gem*-diacetates, acetic anhydride, solvent-free

Introduction


Selective protection of carbonyl function as *gem*-diacetates (acylals) or *gem*-bis(acyloxy)-alkanes is an important transformation in organic chemistry¹ as an alternative to acetals because of their stability under neutral and basic conditions^{1b} as well as under critically controlled acidic conditions. Apart from mere protective groups acylals are important synthons and are useful precursors. The acylals derived from α - β unsaturated aldehydes are important starting materials for Diels-Alder reactions.² These *gem*-diacetates have several synthetic as well as industrial applications. In industries, diacetates are utilized as cross linking reagents³ in cellulose and cotton industry, being also used as stain bleaching agents. As synthons, acylals have been exploited in well known reactions of organic chemistry, like Grignard,⁴ Barbier,^{4b} and Prins⁵ reactions, condensation reactions of Knoevenagel,^{6a} and benzoin^{6b} type, and are also used in the synthesis of chrysanthemic acid,^{7a} and the total syntheses of sphingofungins E and F.^{7b} Because of their synthetic and industrial utility and unique properties as protective groups as well as important synthons, a search for efficient and facile preparation of acylals is of current interest. Apart from other methods, conventionally *gem*-diacetates are prepared from aldehyde, acetic anhydride and a catalyst *viz* strong Bronsted acids⁸ like H₂SO₄, H₃PO₄, and super acids⁹ like Nafion-H and heteropolyacids. The use of strong Lewis acids¹⁰ like BF₃, PCl₃, ZnCl₂, LiBF₄, ZrCl₄, Er(OTf)₃, FeCl₃, FeCl₃/SiO₂, Zn(ClO₄)₂.6H₂O, *etc* have also been reported. In addition to these catalysts, graphite, zeolites, tungstosilicic acid and zirconium sulfophenyl phosphonate have also been employed in this protection process.¹¹ Some of these methods still suffer from drawbacks like prolonged reaction time (*viz.* up to 120 h in case of 2-furyl aldehyde with PCl₃),

low yields in the cases of 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (4 %) and cinnamaldehyde (30 %), when PCl_{35} is used and, in some cases, requirement of elevated temperature. Moreover, several of these catalysts are unsafe to handle, like metal perchlorates, BF_3 , etc. Consequently it seems desirable and necessary to develop a simple, safe, efficient and facile method for the preparation of these *gem*-diacetates.

Though indium and gallium both are in same group i.e. IIIA, indium and its salts have been studied extensively and the results of this prolific exploration are reviewed from time to time,¹² while gallium and its salts remained almost ignored. Yet, the comparable ionization potentials (Ga: FIP, 5.99 eV, E° , $\text{Ga}^{+3}/\text{Ga} = -0.56$ V; In: FIP, 5.79 eV, E° , $\text{In}^{+3}/\text{In} = -0.345$ V) indicate they should have equally attractive properties. Very recently, the use of gallium is reported in some major reactions of organic chemistry like Reformatsky,¹³ Barbier,¹⁴ Grignard,¹⁵ bromination of aromatics^{16a} and allylation of indoles.^{16b} The applications of gallium(III) halides are developing¹⁷ at a very fast pace, showing that its utility can match indium.¹⁸

Results and Discussion

In continuation of our own work¹⁹ on the use of gallium and its salts, in this communication we wish to report a gallium(III) chloride catalyzed preparation of *gem*-diacetates, from aldehyde and acetic anhydride (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1

In initial experiments, we used varying quantities of catalyst, *viz.* from 1 mol% to 20 mol% (Table 1). Indeed, we were able to establish the optimum quantity of the catalyst at 5 mol%. In a pilot experiment, *p*-tolualdehyde, acetic anhydride, and GaCl_3 (1:1.5:0.05), in dichloromethane (Method A), were stirred at room temperature for 3 min to obtain *gem*-diacetate in 98 % yield.


To show the wide application of this procedure, other aldehydes were reacted analogously to afford *gem*-diacetates in 80-98 % yields. Under these conditions, reaction time was reduced dramatically and reaction completes within 3-14 minutes (Method A, Table 2). After this success we looked for further improvements in this process, *viz.* to carry this reaction under solvent-free conditions, at room temperature. (Method B). Under solvent-free conditions, equivalent results were obtained and reaction times shortened to 1-6 minutes, affording *gem*-diacetates in 82-98 % yields.

Table 1. Synthesis of aldehyde *gem*-diacetates using varying amount of catalyst

S. No.	Amount of GaCl ₃ (mol%)	Yield ^a (%)	
		Method A ^b	Method B ^c
1	1	85	86
2	2	89	92
3	5	97	98
4	10	97	97
5	20	98	97

^aYields refers to pure isolated product, ^b Aldehyde (2 mmol), acetic anhydride (3 mmol), CH₂Cl₂, room temperature, ^c Aldehyde (2 mmol), acetic anhydride (3 mmol), solvent-free, room temperature


A variety of aromatic, aliphatic and heterocyclic aldehydes are converted to corresponding *gem*-diacetates using acetic anhydride in the presence of GaCl₃, in excellent yields, at room temperature, and in very short reaction times. All aromatic aldehydes carrying electron-donating or electron-withdrawing substituents reacted well, however, as one can see from Table 2, yields are slightly lower in aromatic aldehydes with electron-withdrawing groups and in case of conjugated aldehydes, particularly for crotonaldehyde and acrolein (entry 10-11, Table 2). This decrease in the yields may be due to the formation of polymeric materials as side products, which is to be expected. To show the selectivity/chemoselectivity of the reaction, it was performed using a mixture of aldehydes and ketones, from which only aldehyde diacetates were obtained (Scheme 2), the ketones remaining unaffected, as illustrated by acetophenone and benzophenone (entries 13-14, Table 2).



Scheme 2


Furthermore, when aldehyde and ketone groups are present in the same molecule, only the aldehyde diacetate was obtained, the ketone moiety remaining intact (Scheme 3). When this reaction was extended to 3-formyl benzopyran-(4*H*)-4-one, its formyl diacetate was obtained in excellent yields (Scheme 3).

Table 2. GaCl₃ catalysed synthesis of aldehyde *gem*-diacetates

Entry	Substrates	Product ^a	Time (min)		Yield ^b (%)	
			Method A	Method B	Method A	Method B
1	PhCHO	2a	3	1	96	98
2	4-Me-C ₆ H ₄ CHO	2b	3	1	97	98
3	4-MeO-C ₆ H ₄ CHO	2c	4	2	94	95
4	4-Cl-C ₆ H ₄ CHO	2d	4	2	93	97
5	3-NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄ CHO	2e	14	5	91	89
6	4-NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄ CHO	2f	14	6	89	91
7	PhCH=CHCHO	2g	8	4	87	86
8	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂ CHO	2h	7	3	90	90
9	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₄ CHO	2i	5	3	86	91
10	CH ₃ CH=CHCHO	2j	5	4	86	89
11	H ₂ C=CHCHO	2k	5	2	80	83
12		2l	4	2	83	82
13	PhCOCH ₃	—	6 ^e	6 ^e	-	—
14	PhCOPh	—	6 ^e	6 ^e	-	—

Method A: Aldehyde (2 mmol), acetic anhydride (3 mmol), GaCl₃ (5 mol %) in CH₂Cl₂ stirred at room temperature; Method B: Aldehyde (2 mmol), acetic anhydride (3 mmol), GaCl₃ (5 mol %) in solvent-free condition stirred at room temperature

^a All the products were characterized by comparison of their spectral and physical data with those of authentic samples. ^b Isolated yields of corresponding *gem*-diacetates. ^c Time in hours.



92% (CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 12 min), 94% (solvent-free, r.t., 3 min)

Scheme 3

Conclusions

In conclusion, the present method is a very simple, mild, efficient and convenient catalytic method for the preparation of *gem*-diacetates from aldehydes under solvent-free conditions using

GaCl₃. In addition, this protocol has advantages in term of short reaction times, high yields, high selectivity, fairly wide scope and avoidance of rigorous reaction conditions.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. IR spectra were obtained with a Perkin-Elmer 237B infra red spectrometer, from KBr pellets. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded in FT-NMR-AL300 spectrometer using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard. GaCl₃ used was commercial grade and was not further purified. Acetic anhydride was distilled prior to use.

General procedure for the preparation of *gem*-diacetates – Method A

To a stirred solution of aldehyde (2 mmol) and acetic anhydride (3 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL), GaCl₃ (17.5 mg, 5 mol%) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for the time indicated in Table 2. After reaction completion, the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane and washed with saturated NaHCO₃ solution (3 x 15 mL), and then with saturated brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in *vacuo* to afford the pure corresponding *gem*-diacetates.

General procedure for the preparation of *gem*-diacetates under solvent-free conditions – Method B

To a stirred solution of aldehyde (2 mmol) in acetic anhydride (3 mmol), GaCl₃ (17.5 mg, 5 mol %) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for the time indicated in Table 2. After completion of reaction, the reaction mixture was extracted with dichloromethane and washed with saturated NaHCO₃ solution (3 x 15 mL), and then with saturated brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in *vacuo* to afford the pure corresponding *gem*-diacetates.

Representative spectral data

2b. M.p. 81-82 °C, Lit⁹ M.p. 81-82; IR (KBr): 2950, 1771, 1742, 1510, 1398, 1250, 1010, 960 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.66 (s, 1H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.14 (s, 6H); Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₄O₄: C, 64.86; H, 6.30. Found: C, 64.96; H, 6.21.

2f. M.p. 124-125 °C, Lit⁹ M.p. 124-125; IR (KBr): 2952, 1760, 1619, 1525, 1351, 1239, 1200, 995 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.30 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (s, 1H), 2.15 (s, 6H); Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₁NO₆: C, 52.17; H, 4.34; N, 5.53. Found: C, 52.10; H, 4.25; N, 5.45.

2g. M.p. 83-85 °C, Lit⁹ M.p. 84-86; IR (KBr): 2945, 1758, 1604, 1501, 1402, 1249, 1198, 1009, 940 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.29-7.38 (m, 6H), 7.01 (d, 1H), 6.20-6.72 (m, 1H), 2.11 (s, 6H); Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₄O₄: C, 66.67; H, 5.98. Found: C, 66.90; H, 6.17.

2j. liquid; IR (KBr): 2950, 1755, 1398, 1246, 1216 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 6.80 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 5.20-6.10(m, 2H), 1.86-2.11 (m, 9H); Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_8\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_4$: C, 55.81; H, 6.97. Found: C, 55.99; H, 6.79.

4. M.p. 131-132 $^\circ\text{C}$; IR (KBr) 3085, 2987, 2940, 1761, 1645, 1625, 1612, 1470, 1417, 1245, 1192, 1073, 933 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 8.27 (d, J = 9.0 Hz 1H), 8.24 (s, 1H), 7.71 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.61 (s, 1H), 7.48-7.52 (m, 2H, ArH), 2.16 (s, 6H); Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_6$ C, 61.32; H 3.68. Found: C, 61.39; H, 3.59.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, India, for fellowships to S.K. and A. S. and to the Indian National Science Academy, for additional financial support for this research project.

References

1. (a) Greene, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. M. *Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*, 3rd Edn., Wiley: New York, 1999; p 306. (b) Gregory, M. J. *J. Chem. Soc. (B)* **1970**, 1201.
2. Banks, R. E.; Miller, J. A.; Nunn, M. J.; Stanley, P.; Weakley, T. J. R.; Ullah, Z. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1981**, 1096.
3. Frick, J. G.; Harper, R. J.; Jr. *J. Appl. Polymer Sci.* **1984**, *29*, 1433.
4. (a) Sandberg, M.; Sydnes, L. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 6361. (b) Sydnes, L. K.; Sandberg, M. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 12679.
5. (a) Mowry, D. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1950**, *72*, 2535. (b) Merten, R.; Muller, G. *Angew. Chem.* **1962**, *74*, 866.
6. (a) Trost, B. M.; Vercauteran, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 131. (b) Trost, B. M.; Lee, C. B.; Weiss, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 7247. (c) Sandberg, M.; Sydnes, L. K. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 687.
7. (a) Kula, J. Pol. Pat. PL 143: 824, 1988; (*Chem. Abstr.* *112*, 216290y). (b) Trost, B. M.; Lee, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 12191.
8. (a) Tomita, M.; Kikuchi, T.; Bessho, K.; Hori, T.; Inubushi, Y. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1963**, *11*, 1484. (b) Davey, W.; Gwilt, J. R. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1957**, 1008. (c) Freeman, F.; Karchefski, E. M. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1977**, *22*, 355.
9. (a) Olah, G. A.; Mehrotra, A. K. *Synthesis* **1982**, 962. (b) Romanelli, G. P.; Thomas, H. J.; Baronetti, G. T.; Autino, J. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 1301.
10. (a) Man, E. H.; Sanderson, J. J.; Hauser, C. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1950**, *72*, 847. (b) Kochhar, K. S.; Bal, B. S.; Deshpande, R. P.; Rajadhyksha, S. N.; Pinnick, H. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 1765. (c) Wang, C.; Li, M. *Synth. Commun.* **2002**, *32*, 3469. (d) Dalpozzo, R.; De Nino,

- A.; Maiuolo, L.; Nardi, M.; Procopio, A.; Russo, B.; Tagarelli, A. *Arkivoc*, **2006**, (vi), 181.
- (e) Kumar, R.; Thilagavathi, R.; Gulhane, R.; Chakraborti, A. K. *J. Mol. Catal. A-Chem.* **2006**, *250*, 226.
11. (a) Jin, T. -S.; Ran, Y.; Zhang, Z.-H.; Li, T.-S. *Synth. Commun.* **1997**, *27*, 3379. (b) Ballini, R.; Bordoni, M.; Bosica, G.; Maggi, R.; Sartori, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 7587.
12. (a) Nair, V.; Ros, S.; Jayan, C. N.; Pillai, B. S. *Tetrahedron*, **2004**, *60*, 1959. (b) Podlech, J.; Maier, T. C. *Synthesis*, **2003**, 633. (c) Ranu, B. C. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, 2347. (d) Chauhan, K. K.; Frost, C. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **2000**, 3015. (e) Li, C. J.; Chan, T.-H. *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 11149. (f) Cintas, P. *Synlett*, **1995**, 1087.
13. Zhang, X.-L.; Han, Y.; Tao, W.-T.; Huang, Y.-Z. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1995**, 189.
14. (a) Wang, Z.; Yuan, S.; Li, C.-J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 5097. (b) Han, Y.; Huang, Y.-Z. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 9433.
15. Tsuji, T.; Usuji, S.-I.; Yorimitsu, H.; Shinokubo, H.; Matsubara, S.; Oshima, K. *Chemistry Lett.* **2002**, 2.
16. (a) Arisawa, M.; Suwa, A.; Ashikawa, M.; Yamaguchi, M. *Arkivoc* **2003**, (viii), 24. (b) Prajapati, D.; Gohain, M.; Gogoi, B. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47*, 3535.
17. For some recent papers on Gallium halides see; (a) Mikami, S.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. *Synlett* **2002** 1137. (b) Viswanathan, G. S.; Li, C.-J. *Synlett*, **2002**, 1553. (c) Ryo, A.; Yoshio, N.; Masahiko, Y. *Synthesis* **2004**, 1307. (d) Arisawa, M.; Miyagawa, C.; Yamaguchi, M. *Synthesis* **2002**, 138. (e) Kobayashi, K.; Arisawa, M.; Yamaguchi, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 8528. (f) Arisawa, M.; Akamatsu, K.; Yamaguchi, M. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 789. (g) Yoshioka, S.; Osita, M.; Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 3697. (h) Huang, Z.-H.; Zou J.-P.; Jiang, W.-Q. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47*, 7965.
18. For reviews on Gallium halides, see: (a) Kellogg, R. M. *Chemtracts* **2003**, *16*, 78. (b) Amemiya, R.; Yamaguchi, M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *24*, 5145. (c) Barman, D. C. *Synlett* **2003**, 2440.
19. (a) Sharma, U.; Bora, U.; Boruah, R. C.; Sandhu, J. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 143. (b) Gadhwal, S.; Baruah, M.; Sandhu, J. S. *Synlett*, **1999**, 1573. (c) Gohain, M.; Prajapati, D.; Gogoi, B. J.; Sandhu, J. S. *Synlett*, **2004**, 1179. (d) Laskar, D. D.; Gohain, M.; Prajapati, D.; Sandhu, J. S. *New J. Chem.* **2002**, *26*, 193.